Annex 3: Results of post-event surveys

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PEMPAL event** | **BCOP Minsk (Plenary Meeting)** | **BCOP Minsk (WG)** | **TCOP Ankara**  | **IACOP Prague (Plenary Meeting)** | **IACOP Prague (WG)** | **Average scores** |
|  |  |
| Responses analyzed in Report | 30 | 11 | 16 | 36 | 25 | 12 |
| **II.** | **ABOUT THE RESPONDENT** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | First participation in PEMPAL event *(0 No, 1 Yes)* | 0-1 scale | 0.3 | 0,1 | 0,2 | 0,2 | 0,2 | 0,2 |
| **III.** | **EVENT DELIVERY** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Event participation *(1 active, 2 average, 3 passive)* | 1-3 scale | 1,4 | 1,5 | 1,2 | 1,3 | 1,5 | 1,2 |
| 4. | Event duration *(1 too short, 2 about right, 3 too long)* | 1-3 scale | 2 | 1,7 | 1,9 | 1,9 | 1,8 | 1,8 |
| 5.a | Level appropriate for participant's experience and knowledge  | 1-5 scale | 4,7 | 5 | 4,6 | 4,7 | 4,6 | 4,6 |
| 5.b | Learning from other participants' experience | 1-5 scale | 4,5 | 4,7 | 4,6 | 4,6 | 4,3 | 4,5 |
| 5.c | Equality of relevant prior expertise among participants | 1-5 scale | 4,0 | 4.7 | 3,9 | 3,9 | 3,7 | 4,0 |
| 5.d | Appropriate content for participant's knowledge | 1-5 scale | 4,7 | 5 | 4,6 | 4,8 | 4,3 | 4,7 |
| 6.a | Agenda planned properly | 1-5 scale | 4,8 | 4,9 | 4,9 | 4,5 | 4,6 | 4,6 |
| 6.b | Content prepared properly | 1-5 scale | 4,8 | 4,9 | 4,9 | 4,5 | 4,8 | 4,8 |
| 6.c | Important issues addressed | 1-5 scale | 4,5 | 4,7 | 4,9 | 4,8 | 4,6 | 4,7 |
| 6.d | Right balance of topics covered and time available | 1-5 scale | 4,6 | 4,6 | 4,4 | 4,3 | 4,1 | 4,5 |
| 6.e | Relevance of topics for group discussions | 1-5 scale | 4,6 | 4,9 | 4,7 | 4,6 | 4,6 | 4,9 |
| 6.f | Sufficient time available for group discussions | 1-5 scale | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4,7 | 4,2 | 4,5 | 4,4 |
| 6.g | Presentations were relevant and useful  | 1-5 scale | 4,5 | 4,9 | 4,7 | 4,8 | 4,5 | 4,7 |
| 6.h | Enough time for questions | 1-5 scale | 4,7 | 4,5 | 4,4 | n/a | n/a | 4,5 |
| 7. | Event objectives have been achieve *(average)* | 1-5 scale | n/a | 4,4 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4,4 |
| **IV.** | **EVENT ADMINISTRATION** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.a | Quality of organization | 1-5 scale | 4.9 | 5,0 | 4,9 | 4,7 | 4,7 | 4,9 |
| 8.b | Quality of administration | 1-5 scale | 4.9 | 5,0 | 4,9 | 4,8 | 4,7 | 4,9 |
| 9. | Agenda received on time *(0 No, 1 Yes)* | 1-2 scale | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 |
| 10. | Practical information received on time *(0 No, 1 Yes)* | 1-2 scale | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. | Quality of simultaneous interpretation | 1-5 scale | 4,5 | 4,7 | 4,6 | 4,8 | 4,8 | 4,7 |
| 13. | Quality of written translation | 1-5 scale | 4,5 | 4,7 | 4,8 | 4,5 | 4,6 | 4,6 |
| **V.** | **OVERALL IMPRESSION** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. | Event delivery versus expectations *(1 disappoint, 2 meet, 3 exceed)* | 1-3 scale | 2,1 | 2,1 | 2,4 | 2,2 | 2,1 | 2,2 |
| 17. | Participant will brief colleagues *(0 No, 1 Yes)* | 1-2 scale | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0,9 |
| 18. | Learned knowledge applicable to work | 1-5 scale | 4,1 | 4,8 | 4,5 | 4,6 | 4,5 | 4,8 |
| 19. | Overall satisfaction with the event | 1-5 scale | 4,2 | 4,8 | 4,7 | 4,8 | 4,6 | 4,8 |

Note: Cells are shaded for topics not covered (or phrased differently) in questionnaires. – Numbering of questions follows the survey template. – The applied 1-5 scale typically represents assessments of “*1 strongly disagree | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 strongly agree*”.