

### **Budgetary Governance Principles**

Ronnie Downes

Deputy Head, Budgeting and Public Expenditures
Public Governance & Territorial Development Directorate
OECD

CESEE Senior Budget Officials, The Hague, 26-27 June 2014

#### Overview

- Background to the Budget Principles
- Consultation process key contributions
- The updated Budget Principles
- Moving forward...



#### Budget Principles – "Why Now?"

- 6 years of economic crisis in most OECD countries
- Several years of fiscal consolidation still lie ahead
  - Ongoing 'austerity' poses challenges for society, for governments and public administrations
  - Do traditional budgeting models provide the right tools to meet these challenges?
  - What lessons can we draw from experience of the past 6 years?
  - Does this reinforce, or challenge, the earlier lessons and 'good practices' we had drawn from earlier experiences?



## OECD guidance and analysis of budgetary frameworks







# Towards Good Budgeting Principles?- as discussed at last year's CESEE SBO in Riga

- 1. Top-down budgeting setting aggregates first
- 2. Clear and sensible fiscal rule, or rules
- 3. Medium-term expenditure framework
- 4. Transparency "update and upgrade" of Best Practices
- Performance dimension of budgeting
- 6. Objective and rigorous budgetary forecasts
- 7. A culture of evaluation, prioritisation and value-for-money
  - regular Spending Reviews?
  - PPPs versus traditional capital procurement
- 8. A participative budget formulation process
  - Stronger, year-round engagement with parliament?
  - Role for Independent Fiscal Institutions?
- 9. What should be added? or what taken away?



#### Consultation process

- First draft document prepared in autumn 2013
- Presented at regional and thematic SBO meetings
  - LAC-SBO, MENA-SBO, Performance & Results, Accruals
  - OECD-Korea Policy Center, SEA Regional Network
- Open consultation via OECD website
  - Country responses from OECD and further afield
  - Institutional responses from WB, IMF, GIFT
  - Individual responses



#### Key Feedback Received

- Most very positive and welcoming of the initiative
- Some caution against being "over-prescriptive"
  - Be sure to respect national, cultural, institutional divergences
- Additional principles proposed
  - Budget execution
  - Capital budgeting
- Scope for streamlining some proposed principles
  - Clear fiscal objectives + top-down budgeting
  - Alignment with strategic plans + medium-term dimension
- Some clarifications, qualifications
- Some closer alignment with other international norms



- 1. Budgets should be managed within clear, credible and predictable limits for fiscal policy.
  - a) The starting point without it, all other policy objectives suffer
  - b) "Fiscal rules" helpful for some, not necessary for others
- 2. Budgets should be closely aligned with the mediumterm strategic priorities of government.
  - a) "Other side of the coin" matching available resources with priorities and plans "top down" rather than traditional "bottom up" approach
  - b) Medium-term expenditure framework important tool



- 3. The capital budgeting framework should be designed to meet national development needs in a cost-effective and coherent manner.
  - a) Grounded in appraisal of economic capacity gaps, infrastructural development needs and sectoral/social priorities
  - b) Neutrality in assessing PPP or Traditional Infrastructure Procurement
  - c) Elements of a national framework for public investment
- 4. Budget documents and data should be open, transparent and accessible.
  - a) Clear, factual budget reports to inform all key budget stages
  - b) "accessibility" open data formats, citizen's budget or summary
  - c) Design and use budget data in support of open government, integrity, evaluation and policy coordination across levels of government



- 5. Debate on budgetary choices should be inclusive, participative and realistic.
  - a) Beyond "access" to "engagement"
  - b) Primary role of parliament ex ante as well as ex post
  - c) a role for citizens, CSOs also in contributing to a realistic debate about trade-offs and opportunity costs
- 6. Budgets should present a comprehensive, accurate and reliable account of the public finances.
  - a) Include all expenditures and revenues "budget sincerity"
  - b) Full national overview: levels of government, whole public sector
  - c) Full budget accounting: either accruals or cash, but providing complementary information needed to present a full picture



- 7. Budget execution should be actively planned, managed and monitored.
  - a) Budgets as authorised should be implemented fully and faithfully
  - b) Clear regulation of roles for execution, implementing and accounting
  - c) Single treasury fund minimise special-purpose funds and ear-marking
  - d) Some flexibility for virement can promote efficient and value-for-money
- 8. Performance, evaluation and value for money should be integral to the budget process.
  - a) Performance information: routinely presented in a way which informs, and provides useful context for, the budget allocations
  - b) Should clarify not obscure or impede accountability and oversight
  - c) Regular evidence-based evaluation and review of programmes
  - d) Ex ante evaluation of substantive new policy proposals
  - e) Periodic review of government's expenditure priorities



- 9. Longer-term sustainability and other fiscal risks should be identified, assessed and managed prudently.
  - a) Promote resilience of budgetary plans
  - b) Clarity about identification and management of fiscal risks
  - c) Regular long-term sustainability / inter-generational report, with near-term and longer-term policy messages
- 10. The integrity and quality of budgetary forecasts, fiscal plans and budgetary implementation should be promoted through rigorous quality assurance, including independent audit
  - a) Quality and integrity of budgetary forecasts and fiscal plans
  - b) Role for IFIs or other Institutional mechanisms for impartial input to budgeting
  - c) internal auditing within all ministries and public agencies
  - d) Fundamental role of Supreme Audit Institution as guardian of public trust: its reports should be aligned with budgetary cycle, and it can enhance quality of performance accountability frameworks more generally.



#### updated OECD Principles of Budgetary Governance

