

### 8<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of OECD-CESEE Senior Budget Officials

**Brian Olden** 

Tallinn, Estonia 28-29 June 2012





### IMF's new vision for capacity building

- Economic difficulties experienced in many parts of the world including CESEE have generated strong demand for IMF technical assistance and training
- Inaugural meeting of the Donor Consultative Group held during the 2012 IMF-World Bank Spring Meetings
  - Brought together close to 50 representatives of some 30 donor agencies and other development partners
- Merger of technical assistance and training activities to form the <u>Institute for Capacity</u> <u>Development</u> on May 1, 2012
  - Brings together the former IMF Institute and the Office of Technical Assistance Management



### IMF's new vision for capacity building

- IMF capacity building to focus on topics with a clear macroeconomic impact and avoid duplicating the efforts of other technical assistance providers
  - Capacity building should be integrated with the IMF's surveillance and lending work
  - It also needs to be nimble-a key strength of the IMF is its ability to respond swiftly to crises in member countries
- TA focuses on core areas of expertise
  - Macroeconomic policy, tax policy and revenue administration, expenditure management, monetary policy, the exchange rate system, financial sector stability, legislative frameworks, and macroeconomic and financial statistics
  - A regional approach to TA
    - Including regional advisors in SEE



#### The IMF's TA model

- TA mainly provided by staff and headquarters-based consultants, either directly or through regional TA centers and experts in the field, to ensure quality of advice
- TA provided in support of Fund's policy dialogue conducted in the context of bilateral, regional, and multilateral surveillance, and in program cases
- In recent years, greater emphasis on analyzing issues and devising policy response, taking into account implementation capability
- More medium-to-long-term engagement

### THE IMF's role in public financial management in the CESEE region



#### FAD's TA in the PFM area focuses on:

- Comprehensive assessment of PFM systems
- Basic PFM system reforms
- More advanced reforms
- Legislative and regulatory drafting, and
- Restructuring of central finance agencies

#### Active in CESEE (especially SEE) in recent years

- Response to crisis and support of program and surveillance work has magnified hugely
- Aim to anchor short-term advice to aid fiscal consolidation within longer-term reform strategies

# The IMF's role in public financial management in the CESEE region



- Regional PFM Advisor based in Center of Excellence in Finance in Ljubljana covers 11 SEE countries
  - Program objective to facilitate in developing or further advancing strategic policy-oriented fiscal management capacity, and enhancing related PFM processes
  - Sponsored by the Japanese Government
  - Also covers revenue administration
  - Demand driven
- TA to other CESEE countries
  - Focus currently on developing capacity to design and implement fiscal consolidation programs to ensure long term fiscal sustainability

# Current efforts to coordinate organizations' work programs



- Ongoing dialogue with other TA providers
- Increased role of donors in financing IMF TA
  - Leverages the internal resources available for technical assistance
  - Helps avoid duplication of advice by different donors
  - Strengthens collaboration with donors and other technical assistance providers
- Cooperation with learning institutions
  - Center of Excellence in Finance, Slovenia
  - IMF's JVI
- Participation in donor sponsored programs
  - e.g. WB PEMPAL events

### Weaknesses in current coordination efforts



- Lack of understanding of who does what where?
  - Often difficult for TA providers to identify who is doing what
  - Strategic TA provision vs. firefighting needs
  - Lack of standardization of advice
- Country authorities need to take more active ownership of donor financed TA initiatives
  - PFM reform strategies are often not fully owned by country authorities
  - Donors driving the agenda
- Sometimes countries like to cherry pick advice from a number of providers
  - Risks overlapping other gaps in PFM may not be addressed

# Addressing existing weaknesses and improving coordination



- Country authorities need to drive the TA agenda
- Reform plans with targeted responsibility for donors and capacity building institutions
  - Less cherry picking, more agreement on roles and responsibilities of various stakeholders
  - More realism in terms of what is actually achievable
    - Political context
    - Capacity constraints
    - Sequencing and prioritizing reforms
- Better integration of TA and learning programs
  - IMF/CEF model a good example
  - Greater use of regional resources to learn from country experiences